

23 and the important language is in lines 20 through 23, "unless the law reenacting the board provides to the contrary."

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if we had a situation where there was a person with an overwhelming, domineering personality had somehow managed to be appointed to a board and the Legislature didn't like it, they could pretend to allow that agency or board to go out of operation, then immediately reenact, I meant pass legislation to continue the life of that board with the proviso that everybody serving on the board at that time would no longer have their position, couldn't that be done?

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Senator Chambers, anything can be done here with 25 votes. We all know that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I mean, specifically...

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you, Senator Rasmussen. Members of the Legislature, that is just one of the points in the bill that I think is poor in addition to what Senator Lewis mentioned and I don't think the bill in its proper form is sunset legislation at all. I believe it is a feint in that direction without really getting at the nub of what sunset legislation is about. I don't believe that the issue has been thought through completely by 25 members of the Legislature. First of all, there have been many controversial bills requiring study and deliberation, and for the sake of what I am saying now, I am going to presume that that study and deliberation was given on those bills, so now we come to a very complex piece of legislation with wide ranging, sweeping ramifications, and I would venture to say that very few people have adopted a solid philosophy with reference to sunset legislation or even what it should be. How many agencies, the type of agency that ought to cease to exist unless the Legislature says it will not cease to exist. I, personally, since being in the Legislature have seen acts of vindictiveness taken by the Legislature deliberately and with the devout purpose and intent of being vindictive. That makes me skeptical of this kind of legislation. If there could be a demonstration that it was aimed really at making agencies responsive to the people and make them accountable, make them conform to the laws that cause them to operate and operate in as efficient a manner as possible, that is one thing, but to just blanketly pass a bill which is not understood and which is not aimed at the agencies that might create the problem that is to be addressed is unwise. So I am going to listen to the types of amendments that are being offered and perhaps the bill can be put into a form where I would not disagree with it and I know whether I agree or disagree is not going to make up anybody's mind one way or the other on the floor, but for the record, I want my position to be perfectly clear. A lot of things that come through the Legislature are fadish. It is being done someplace else so they do it here. Perhaps a good amount of study and research went into it someplace else, for example, the bottle bill but the mere fact that that was adopted someplace else